

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/822,548	03/30/2001	Matthew D. Wood	42390P10451	7654
7590 01/19/2005			EXAMINER	
Michael A. DeSanctis			PYZOCHA, MICHAEL J	
BLAKELY, SO	KOLOFF, TAYLOR & 2	ZAFMAN LLP		
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2137	
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			DATE MAILED: 01/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/822,548	WOOD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Pyzocha	2137				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 N	ovember 2004.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 17-24 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 17-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2000 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1-9 and 17-24 are pending and claims 10-16 are canceled.

Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 10-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 11/12/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 17-22, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matyas Jr. et al (US 6687375) and further in view of Chen et al (US 6182220).

Application/Control Number: 09/822,548
Art Unit: 2137

As per claim 17, Matyas Jr. et al discloses entropy enhancing system comprising: a local system comprising a pseudorandom number generator (PRNG) (see column 9 lines 19-34 and 45-67).

Matyas Jr. et al fails to disclose one or more remote independent systems comprising entropy servers.

However, Chen et al discloses one or more remote independent systems comprising entropy servers (see column 1 line 66 through column 2 line 9).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to obtain the additional seeding information of Matyas Jr. et al from the server of Chen et al.

Motivation to do so would have been too update passwords on the server (see Chen et al column 4 lines 15-39).

As per claim 18, the modified Matyas Jr. et al and Chen et al system discloses the local system generates local seeding information (see Matyas Jr. et al column 9 lines 45-67).

As per claim 19, the modified Matyas Jr. et al and Chen et al system discloses the one or more remote independent systems generate remote seeding information (see Chen et al column 1 line 66 through column 2 line 9).

Art Unit: 2137

As per claim 20, the modified Matyas Jr. et al and Chen et al system discloses the entropy servers are machines (see Chen et al column 4 lines 40-54).

As per claim 21, the modified Matyas Jr. et al and Chen et al system discloses the entropy servers are software (see Chen et al column 5 lines 5-14).

As per claim 22, the modified Matyas Jr. et al and Chen et al system discloses the local system gathers the local seeding information (see Matyas Jr. et al column 9 lines 45-67).

As per claim 24, the modified Matyas Jr. et al and Chen et al system discloses the PRNG is stirred using the local seeding information and the remote seeding information (see Matyas Jr. et al column 9 lines 45-67).

5. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matyas, Jr. et al, further in view of Chen et al and further in view of Hardy et al (US 6073242).

As per claim 1, Matyas Jr. et al discloses initializing a pseudo-random number generator (PRNG); obtaining local seeding information from a host; obtaining additional seeding information; and stirring the PRNG with the local seeding information and the additional seeding information (see column 9 lines 19-34 and 45-67).

Art Unit: 2137

Matyas Jr. et al fails to disclose securely obtaining additional seeding information from one or more remote and independent entropy servers.

However, Chen et al teaches obtaining seeding information from one or more remote and independent entropy servers (see column 1 line 66 through column 2 line 9).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to obtain the additional seeding information of Matyas Jr. et al from the server of Chen et al.

Motivation to do so would have been too update passwords on the server (see Chen et al column 4 lines 15-39).

The modified Matyas Jr. et al and Chen et al system fails to disclose the communication between host and server being secure.

However, Hardy et al teaches secure communications (see column 3 lines 54-67).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to muse Hardy et al's method of secure communications in the modified system of Matyas Jr. et al and Chen et al system.

Application/Control Number: 09/822,548
Art Unit: 2137

Motivation to do so would have been to provide confidentiality, authentication and integrity to the communications (see column 3 lines 54-67).

As per claim 2-3, the modified Matyas Jr. et al, Chen et al and Hardy et al system discloses the initializing a PRNG comprises initializing the internal state of the PRNG with a random value that is a seed (see Matyas Jr. et al column 9 lines 19-34).

As per claim 5, the modified Matyas Jr. et al, Chen et al and Hardy et al system discloses the one or more remote and independent entropy servers maintain random state pool to supply the host with the random value (see Matyas Jr. et al column 9 lines 45-67).

As per claim 6-8, the modified Matyas Jr. et al, Chen et al and Hardy et al system discloses the securely obtaining seeding information from the one or more remote and independent entropy servers may include using a privacy protocol, wherein the privacy protocol comprises secure sockets layer (SSL) protocol and transport layer security (TLS) protocol (see Hardy et al column 3 lines 54-67).

As per claim 9, the modified Matyas Jr. et al, Chen et al and Hardy et al system discloses the stirring the PRNG comprises

Art Unit: 2137

producing a cryptographically random stream of bits (see Matyas Jr. et al column 9 lines 45-67).

As per claim 23, the modified Matyas Jr. et al, Chen et al and Hardy et al system discloses securely gathering the remote seeding information (see rejection as in claims 1 and 17).

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the modified Matyas Jr. et al, Chen et al and Hardy et al system as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of AASAA et al (JP 08037138).

As per claim 4, the modified Matyas Jr. et al, Chen et al and Hardy et al system fails to disclose the securely obtaining seeding information from the one or more remote and independent entropy servers is repeated for redundant entropy servers.

However, AASAA et al teaches the method of repeating a process for redundant servers (see translated abstract).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use AASAA et al's method of obtaining information from two servers in the modified Matyas Jr. et al, Chen et al, and Hardy et al system.

Motivation to do so would have been to compare the responses from both servers (see AASAA et al abstract).

Art Unit: 2137

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pyzocha whose telephone number is (571) 272-3875. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am - 4:30pm first Fridays of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANDREW CALDWELL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

andrew Caldula

MJP